Application No. 10/655,186 Amendment Dated 5 January 2005 Reply to Office Action of 6 October 2004

Remarks

The Specification has now been amended to insert a Cross-Reference to Related Applications to properly indicate that the present application is a Continuation of US 09/802,666.

The election to prosecute the invention of Group I (claims 1-13, 16-31, 34, 44-46, 49 and 50) is hereby affirmed. It is understood that claim 51 is accordingly withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner at this time.

Claim 1 has now been amended to include the subject matter of Claim 17 and Claim 17 has accordingly been cancelled. Because the Examiner has indicated that Claim 17 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, it is submitted that claim 1 is now in condition for allowance. Because claim 17 has now been incorporated into Claim 1, Claims 18-22 have been amended to depend from claim 1. Similarly, Claim 26 has been amended to include the subject matter of Claims 30 and 31 and Claims 30 and 31 have been accordingly cancelled. Because the Examiner has indicated that Claim 31 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims it is submitted that Claim 26 is now in condition for allowance. Likewise Claim 44 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of Claims 45 and 46, and those claims (45, 46) have been cancelled.

The Examiner has indicated that Claim 23 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, New Claim 52 has been added which includes the limitations of former claims 1 and 23. New claims 53 and 54 include the subject matter of former claims 24 and 25 respectively, which have now been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claims 8 and 9 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because they included both kilowatt and hp ratings. Claims 8 and 9 have now been amended to recite only kilowatt ratings. The Examiner also rejected Claim

Application No. 10/655,186 Amendment Dated 5 January 2005 Reply to Office Action of 6 October 2004

10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Claim 10 has now been cancelled and claim 11 has been amended to now depend from claim 1.

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephonic conference would be useful in furthering the present application toward allowance, the undersigned attorney would welcome such a call.

Application No. 10/655,186 Amendment Dated 5 January 2005 Reply to Office Action of 6 October 2004

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,

W. Michael Dixon Reg. No. 37,815 Patent Department Deere & Company One John Deere Place Moline, IL 61265 Telephone No. (309) 765-5159

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Attorney for Applicant(s)

envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

Deere & Company

711

Date